1. Working group name:

*Law Enforcement Working Group*

1. Individual sponsor(s):

*Chuck Callaway, Las Vegas Metro Police Department*

*Todd Raybuck, Las Vegas Metro Police Department*

*Jill Tolles, Nevada Assembly*

*Brian Sooudi, Assistant City Attorney, City of Reno*

*Riana Durrett, Executive Director, Nevada Dispensary Association*

1. Describe the recommendation:

*The recommendation is as soon as allowed by law, urge the Nevada Legislature to establish by statute a Marijuana Control Board to provide oversight to the recreational and medical marijuana industries. The structure and duties of the Marijuana Control Board would be generally based on Nevada Revised Statute 463, Nevada Gaming Control Board.*

*When the Nevada Gaming Control Board was established, the environment in the state was very similar to the current environment with marijuana. An activity which is illegal under federal law but legal under state law, must be appropriately regulated and have a designated body to provide proper oversight, accountability, and due process.*

*A quote from the Nevada Resort Association's History of Gaming in Nevada states, "As Nevada's economy became more and more dependent upon gaming as an economic engine, the fear of federal gaming prohibition and negative public sentiment grew, prompting the Nevada State Legislature to create the Gaming Control Board in 1955."*

*The State of Alaska established a Marijuana Control Board in 2015 under Senate Bill 60. This language could also be used as a guide.*

1. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

*Guiding Principle 1 - Promote the health, safety, and well-being of Nevada’s communities*

*Guiding Principle 2 - Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments and the industry*

*Guiding Principle 3 - Ensure that youth are protected from the risks associated with marijuana, including preventing the diversion of marijuana to anyone under the age of 21*

*Guiding Principle 4 - Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome*

*Guiding Principle 6 - Establish regulations that are clear and practical, so that interactions between law enforcement (at the local, state and federal levels), consumers, and licensees are predictable and understandable*

1. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

*Sec. 2. Preamble.*

*In the interest of the public health and public safety, and in order to better focus state and local law enforcement resources on crimes involving violence and personal property, the People of the State of Nevada find and declare that the use of marijuana should be legal for persons 21 years of age or older, and its cultivation and sale should be regulated similar to other legal businesses.*

*The People of the State of Nevada declare that the cultivation and sale of marijuana should be taken from the domain of criminals and be regulated under a controlled system, where businesses will be taxed and the revenue will be dedicated to public education and to the enforcement of the regulations in this act.*

1. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

*This recommendation would create a Marijuana Control Board to provide direct oversight and accountability to the recreational and medical marijuana industry.*

1. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

*None at this time*

1. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

*Legislative action will be required when allowed per Ballot Question 2*

1. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc).

*There will be a fiscal cost to establish a Marijuana Control Board. This cost is undetermined at this time, but could be comparable to the cost associated with the current Gaming Control Board.*